

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	•
	10/668,180	09/24/2003		Davide Bonatti	2545-0432	5382	•
	42624	7590	09/17/2004		EXAMINER		
			JIST KLIMA	VALENZA, JOSEPH E			
4501 NORTH FAIRFAX DRIVE, SUITE 920 ARLINGTON, VA 22203				112 920	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	•
		•			3651		•

DATE MAILED: 09/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ation No.	Applicant(s)		\mathcal{A}					
Office Action Summers			3,180	BONATTI ET AL.		ט'					
	Office Action Summary	Exami	ner	Art Unit							
			Valenza	3651							
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on	the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ddress						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).											
Status											
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on									
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 28	o) This action i	s non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice				e merits is						
Dispositi	on of Claims										
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.										
Applicati	on Papers										
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.									
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.										
	Applicant may not request that any object	ion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t The oath or declaration is objected to			•	, ,						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119										
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.											
Attachmen											
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/24/04</u> .		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)						

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-15 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vierling or German reference '515 or French reference '166.

With regard to claim 6, the French reference does not apply. With regard to claim 9, note cam 14 of French reference '166. With regard to claim 20, only Vierling applies.

2. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vierling or German reference '515 or French reference '166.

With regard to claim 16, the design of the actuating means has not been proven to be critical to the operation of the system in comparison to the functionally equivalent actuating means 65, etc. of Vierling.

- 3. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph for being indefinite due to a lack of proper antecedents for "cam profile means".
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Joseph E. Valenza at telephone number (703) 308-2577. Amendments may be faxed to (703) 872-9306. My normal work week is Monday through Thursday.

JOSEPH E. VALENZA PRIMARY EXAMINER